

# WHY THE UK VOTED TO LEAVE THE EU

## 1) Democracy

- Most decisions in Brussels are made by unelected officials: the EU parliament is a compliant rubber-stamp.
- The openly-stated aim of the Continental political elite is to create a federal state in Europe, which is why the acquisition of ever-more power to Brussels is a policy ruthlessly pursued over decades.
- The LATEST ploy in this never-ending plan is to form a European Army, something that UK REMAINERS SWORE was not planned and would never happen. Yes, they lied - or were naive - or both.
- NOT ONE SINGLE EUROPEAN CITIZEN HAS EVER VOTED FOR THIS POLICY.
- The European elite HATES democratic decisions taken by the people (something that works well in Switzerland). In 2006, the French people voted AGAINST The European Constitution (STATES have constitutions: the title was intended to send a message), but the French parliament PASSED this bill after a few piffling changes were made. YES, the French elite did the OPPOSITE of what its people had voted for.
- Ireland and Holland voted AGAINST the Lisbon Treaty but had to vote AGAIN to get "the right decision".
- The EU pays a LOT of money to the BBC, which is VERY pro-EU. What part of "democracy" is THAT?

## 2) The Euro

- The EU is obsessed with creating a federal state in Europe, of which a common currency is a KEY feature.
- The euro was specifically designed to accelerate the federalisation of Europe.
- Criteria were set for entry to the euro, but in the case of Greece, were IGNORED.
- WORSE than that, Goldman-Sachs was engaged to massage the Greek financial statistics to give the illusion that they qualified, and Greece entered the euro despite being totally unsuitable for it.
- At the time, reputed economists TOLD the EU that the euro could not work with uncompetitive countries such as Greece in it facing the economic might of Northern Europe - especially Germany.
- THEY DID NOT CARE. WORSE than they, they KNEW it could not work but planned for the inevitable crisis to force MORE harmonisation onto Europe, especially in finance. There has NEVER BEEN a successful currency union without FISCAL union, and THAT is what they want.
- The reason that the EU elite LIED about Greece was because for them, MORE is always BETTER: more countries, more money, more laws, more control: they desperately want to be like the USA.
- They think they have good reasons for this being desirable, but NOBODY HAS EVER VOTED FOR IT. (This point is crucial).

**CONCLUSION:** The economic and social grief across Southern Europe are ENTIRELY due to the introduction of the euro as part of the UNMANDATED project to federalise Europe. The blame for the decades-long well-over 20% of youth unemployment in Southern Europe lays ENTIRELY at the hands of the European political elite. Why the Italians for a start put up with this is a mystery: Italian GDP per capita is NO BIGGER today than it was at eurolaunch. The euro has MASSIVELY benefited Germany at the expense of much of the rest of Europe, and this has led to increasing DIVISIONS within Europe, not to harmony.

## 3) Money

- The UK pays over THIRTY MILLION EUROS NET to Brussels EVERY SINGLE DAY of the year.
- This money is effectively foreign aid to other EU countries.
- There is no such thing as "EU MONEY" paid to British regions such as Cornwall; whatever money is transferred to Cornwall by the EU is ONLY money the EU has previously received from the UK. IT IS ALL OUR MONEY.
- Any "REBATE" granted to the UK is not some kind of GIFT from the EU to the UK, but ONLY a reduction in the NET sum that British taxpayers pay.
- This has been going on for over 40 years; you would have to be really perverse to claim that the British are "unEuropean".

#### **4) Fishing**

- On joining the EU the UK GAVE UP 66% of its national fishing rights.
- Most of the fish caught in internationally-recognized British fishing waters is caught by foreign boats.
- Tens of thousands of jobs in the traditional British fishing industry were lost: fishing-ports all around the British coast were devastated.
- The UK now IMPORTS fish, something it had not done for millennia before the EU.

#### **5) Immigration and Population Density**

- England (especially the South) is one of the most densely-populated countries in Europe.
- Were FRANCE to have the SAME population density as England then the former would have to take in ONE HUNDRED AND EIGHTY-MILLION migrants.
- This is why many Brits say: "We are full."

#### **6) Employment**

- Workers from poor EU countries are obviously willing to work for less money than indigenous British workers, since the currency in their own countries is worth so much less than the GBP.
- This clearly holds down wages for ALL workers AND reduces the number of jobs available to indigenous British workers.

#### **7) Border Controls**

- EU countries have no control over who enters their countries from other EU countries.
- KNOWN murderers and rapists from other EU countries have free access to the UK.
- MILLIONS have migrated to the UK to take advantage of its benefits, which include free housing, education and medical care. For example, 200,000 Roma gypsies migrated from Rumania to Britain.
- The resulting pressure on British infrastructure has been devastating.

#### **8) Law:** Up to 80% of new laws are now made in Brussels and the UK has no right to block such laws, even if they are obviously not in Britain's interest.

#### **9) Bureaucracy**

- EU bureaucracy is modelled on that of France, notoriously regulation and paperwork obsessed.
- Unnecessary regulation imposes a massive cost on UK business, a cost not faced by non-EU nations.
- ALL businesses in the UK have to conform to EU regulations, even if they do not trade with the EU.

#### **10) Trade, including Food**

- The UK is not able to make independent and more economical trade deals with the rest of the world.
- Free trade on food is also impossible inside the EU, which heavily subsidizes EU farmers and makes food more expensive.
- This system produces waste and discriminates against producers from poor countries.
- The system is inefficient and open to abuse, and IS widely abused: for example, rich landowners are often paid money NOT to grow anything.

#### **11) Corruption**

- "What can be abused, will be abused." EU officials pay themselves VAST remuneration, including a multitude of extra benefits.
- Top EU officials earn FAR MORE than the Prime Ministers of most EU countries.
- EU employees pay a MUCH reduced tax on their incomes; they are vastly subsidized by ordinary taxpayers.

- The EU hierarchy is a natural home for failed national politicians: once rejected by their own people they can usually find a FAR more highly-paid “job” in Brussels.
- When they leave office, they CONTINUE to be paid a LARGE % of their former salary for four years - in addition to their extremely-generous pensions.
- Nepotism and cronyism are ripe in Brussels; members of the privileged elite look after each other and their families.

## **12) Waste**

- The EU has NEVER had its accounts properly audited and signed off.
- BILLIONS of euros go missing.
- MILLIONS MORE are spent on fatuous projects of all kinds; the ECB alone cost 1,4 BILLION euros; the EU Presidential Palace 300 MILLION euros; BILLIONS more are spent by the EU parliament regularly flogging up and down from Brussels to Strasbourg.
- BILLIONS are allocated to projects that are either fraudulent or make no sense: un or underused Portuguese motorways and Spanish airports, bridges in Greece that are never built - and so on.
- EIGHT BILLION EUROS (plus annual running costs in the hundreds of millions) were spent setting up the EEAS, the EU “Foreign Ministry”, which put embassies and/or consulates in most of the world’s countries, DUPLICATING what individual nations already had in place. This was to give the message that the EU is a COUNTRY, which it is NOT.

## **13) Defence**

- NATO (aka the Americans principally) has indisputably kept peace in Europe since World War II.
- Even so, It was the EU which was awarded the Nobel Peace Prize for “saving Europe”, just one more in a series of total LIES by the European political elite.
- Germany and other major EU countries have for decades NOT PAID their agreed 2% of national GDP towards the cost of NATO: the bulk of NATO’s costs have been borne by the US taxpayer. In these circumstances, it is entirely logical that the US should demand a bigger contribution towards maintaining NATO.
- The EU response (at a time when it is floundering in all areas) is to announce the formation of a EUROPEAN ARMY. Now, who really thinks that a European army dominated by Germany is going to make Europe safer? EU fanatics are fond of saying that history makes European federation essential; they should have a think about history in relation to the Russians and empathize better with them.
- The EU has aggressively pushed for the entry of Ukraine into the EU. Whatever the moral merits of this policy, it is like waving a red flag in front of Russia’s nose. If the EU wants to make Europe more safe, this is NOT the way - at least not until Russia becomes more democratic. (Don’t wait up ...)

## **14) EU Expansion**

- As has been said, a fundamental policy of the EU over decades is to grow BIGGER and have more POWER and control over European peoples. EUphiles have their reasons for this, but they are NOT reasons that have been accepted by ANY European pleb.
- The EU’s obsession with increasing its own size has led to an expansion into Eastern Europe which was far too fast; so fast, that the pressures have led to the opposite of what they were seeking. (Few obsessions end up well.)
- The stated aim of integrating Turkey into the EU is completely insane:
  1. Turkey is NOT EVEN IN EUROPE.
  2. Turkey is vastly incompatible economically and would have to be subsidised by TENS OF BILLIONS of European citizens’ money for DECADES. The ELITE might wish this (they are rich already) but the PEOPLE will NOT.
  3. Turkey in the EU would give 80 MILLION Turks the right to travel (and stay) ANYWHERE in the EU.
  4. Turkey is predominantly Muslim, and ISLAM’S values are totally incompatible with those of Europe.

## **15) Germany**

- Germany is by some measure the biggest economy and population in Europe which for these reasons alone would lead to Germany dominating the EU whatever else happened.
- Unfortunately, the perception in the UK (and I am not saying this is right or wrong) is that only Germany really counts, that nothing can be decided without Germany and that other EU members hardly get a look-in on policy decisions. At times Frau Merkel gives the impression of thinking that she is already the Empress of Europe.
- This perception has strengthened considerably since the German Chancellor made the apparently unilateral decision (no consultation with neighbours, let alone her own people) to invite millions of “refugees” into Europe.
- Given the famous “history” which EUphiles constantly talk about, it has been appalling governance to allow this perception to have grown, The policies and actions of the EU and European political elite in recent years have only served to INCREASE division within Europe, not reduce it.

## **CONCLUSIONS**

The British PEOPLE (not its establishment, which is as detached from its people and from reality just as much as its counterparts over the Channel) has for all the above reasons decided to LEAVE the EU, which it entered as a “COMMON MARKET” but which has changed unrecognizably since 1975 when we voted to join. This creeping and largely unmandated progression has of course been a deliberate attempt to foist federalisation onto the peoples of Europe without their realising it - the original dream of Jean Monnet

The British people will ALWAYS be part of “Europe”, for which it made immense sacrifices in two wars to defeat Continental fascism. We will continue to trade freely with Europe, as indeed we have for thousands of years - but we will not be part of the corrupt, wasteful, incompetent, undemocratic and ruinous EU. We want to retain our sovereignty and independence, like the VAST majority of other countries in the world.

**WE DO NOT WANT TO BE A MERE REGION OF A FEDERAL STATE RULED FROM BRUSSELS, DOMINATED BY GERMANY AND WITH FRENCH-STYLE BUREAUCRACY. The British people wish to remain an INDEPENDENT nation, like the VAST MAJORITY of other nation states - and indeed 56% of European countries not even IN the EU.**

## A FEW QUOTATIONS:

1952 – **“Europe’s nations should be led towards a superstate, without their people understanding what is happening.”** – Jean Monnet (President ECSC 52-55)

**Juncker:**

**“We decide on something, leave it lying around and wait and see what happens. If no one kicks up a fuss, because most people don’t understand what has been decided, we continue step by step until there is no turning back,”** he said of the euro.

In May 2011, he told a meeting of the federalist European Movement that he often **‘had to lie’** and that eurozone monetary policy should be discussed in **‘secret, dark debates’**.

He also sparked controversy by suggesting that the eurozone economic policy was incompatible with democracy. **‘We all know what to do, we just don’t know how to get re-elected after we’ve done it,’** Mr Juncker cynically quipped last year.

Mr Juncker was also closely linked to the EU constitution, before the French referendum on it in 2005 he predicted, correctly, that Europe would ignore any popular rejections. **“If it’s a Yes, we will say ‘on we go’, and if it’s a No we will say ‘we continue’,”** he said.

Following the “NO” votes in France and the Netherlands, Mr Juncker claimed that in reality voters had actually supported deeper European integration, triggering accusations that the European elite was in denial over public hostility to the EU. **“If we were to add up all the votes of the people who wanted ‘more Europe’ as a “YES” , then I think we would have had a “YES” vote,”** he said.

## OTHER QUOTES

2012: **“The most puzzling development in politics during the last decade is the apparent determination of Western European leaders to re-create the Soviet Union in Western Europe.”** – Mikhail Gorbachev (Gen. Sec of CP, USSR 85-91)

2011: MR. SCHÄUBLE said the German government would propose treaty changes at the summit of European leaders in Brussels on Dec. 9 that would move Europe closer to the centralized fiscal government that the currency zone has lacked. The ultimate goal, Mr. Schäuble says, is a political union with a European president directly elected by the people. **“What we’re now doing with the fiscal union, what I’m describing here, is a short-term step for the currency,”** Mr. Schäuble said. **“In a larger context, naturally we need a political union.”** He sees the turmoil as not an obstacle but a necessity. **“We can only achieve a political union if we have a crisis,”** Mr. Schäuble said. *(ed: Not ONE SINGLE EUROPEAN CITIZEN has EVER voted for this.)*

2010: **“Decisions taken by the most democratic institutions in the world are very often wrong.”** – Jose-Manuel Barroso (President of European Commission 2004-2014)

2007: EU Commission President Jose Manuel Barroso, rejoicing in the “success” of his Revised Constitution, hailed the EU as **“the creation of an Empire”**, adding **“We have the dimensions of Empire”**.

2005: **“Politicians should have the courage to take decisions against the will of the people.”** – Klaus Kinkel (German Foreign Minister 92-98) *(NO, Herr Kinkel - the people are sovereign)*

# WHY THE CONTINENTAL ELITE IS OBSESSED WITH FEDERALISING EUROPE.

1. The Continental Political Elite (CPE) are obsessed with federalising Europe.
2. The whole history of the EU has been one of creeping federalisation ever since the end of WWII, and symbolised by Jean Monnet's comment in a letter to a friend in 1952:  
  
**"Europe's nations should be led towards a superstate, without their people understanding what is happening."** – Jean Monnet (President ECSC 52-55)
3. This obsession has pushed them into adopting a **"The ends justify the means."** strategy whereby the people(s) of Europe have NEVER been given a choice about this.
4. This is supremely ironical: the CPE in its determination to federalise Europe has adopted the quasi-fascist strategy of forcing on people something for which they have NEVER voted.
5. For THIS REASON ALONE, the federalisation of Europe is totally unacceptable to those who believe in democracy. If the case for federalisation is not strong enough to convince the peoples of Europe, then it does not deserve to happen.

## WHY this Federalising Obsession?

- Europe has been evolved through a long succession of wars, culminating in WWs I & II.
- The Continent (including Britain) was devastated by the last two World Wars.
- The CPE is convinced that only a federal Europe can prevent future wars within the continent.
- This is a valid argument, but one that:
  - a) ..... is NOT shared by everyone
  - b) .... has NEVER been electorally mandated by ANYone.

Why did we have WWs I & II?

A) WWI was started for nonsensical reasons:

- 1) What on Earth did Germany really have against France? There were no real reasons for war at all, but .....
- 2) Germany was led by a militaristic dictatorship.
- 3) The actual war began partly by accident through mutual fear, and after a long armaments build-up.
- 4) Had Germany been a democracy at the time, THERE WOULD HAVE BEEN NO WAR. Democracies do not start wars.  
(IRAQ is a red-herring: Sadaam Hussein had effectively launched war against his own people - AND of course Kuwait.)

B) WWII was made possibly by the victors' short-sighted policy of punishing Germany through "reparations". After WWII, the lesson was learned, and the US poured BILLION into Europe to avoid a repeat of the economic collapse in the 20s and simultaneously create strong democracies in Europe.

However, despite the immediate and practical reasons for the start of both wars, the underlying cause was the LACK OF DEMOCRACY. German democracy in the 20s was not strong enough to resist the economic ruin caused by WWI and subsequent reparations, which led to an extremism which nothing internal could resist. However, with supreme irony, the CPE is attempting to force on Europe their own version of democracy by adopting undemocratic means.

It is a personal opinion, but for me the CPE federalist argument is NONSENSE:

- There WOULD be no wars if states were fully democratic.
- The best democracies are those which allow the MAXIMUM participation of the plebs; even democratic leaders are not always to be trusted.
- The avoidance of war would be even MORE certain if only MOTHERS were able to vote in a referendum on whether to go to war. In both World Wars in the 20th century, THE PLEBS HAD NO CHOICE.
- This is NOT the situation today, when we HAVE strong democracies. (This has to be a question of debate in Germany, which currently has a grand coalition of the two major parties and NO effective opposition in parliament.) Moreover,

the plebs are VASTLY better informed than 100 years ago - and we collectively usually DO know better than our leaders.

- The wars were NOTHING to do with “nationalism”, only with a LACK OF DEMOCRACY. Switzerland is a national state, but when did Switzerland last start a war? The fact that it is small and very democratic is not a coincidence.
- ALL states may make a mistake, but BIG states make BIG mistakes, especially if they are not fully democratic.

## CONCLUSIONS

- Homo Sapiens may perhaps one day all belong to one “country” ruled by a World Government.
- If that is to be, it must EVOLVE and not be IMPOSED by any group of “Illuminati” or other unelected and unmandated group (the EU for example).
- My personal view is that a World Government could easily turn into an Orwellian nightmare, since those with power would only seek to increase this, which would lead to power moving ever further away from the plebs, who would eventually return to the status of serfs. (The rich-poor gap is increasing everywhere: the power of the superrich especially so)
- In the US and Europe, plebs are currently RESISTING this growing power of the elite by increasingly voting for anti-PC, anti-establishment leaders: many plebs NO LONGER trust the CPE to do what is right. Do you?
- Do you think the German people would EVER have voted to accept millions of Muslims into their country if given the choice? And this has NOTHING to do with “racism”, but sheer commonsense.
- There is absolutely NO reason not to maintain democratic nation states, which can and will continue to collaborate and cooperate, including in defense as long as dictatorships flourish as they do. The danger we face is from DICTATORSHIPS, and in this context, ISLAM is ALSO a dictatorship.
- For many Brits, the idea of a European federation ruled from Brussels, dominated by Germany and with French bureaucracy is impossible to accept. We do not want it. If the PEOPLE voted for it, then fine, but the CPE will NEVER allow the people to vote, which is why there is such chaos at present and why the whole thing is going to collapse. It just IS not right or possible to FORCE federalisation onto people. This is the ANTITHESIS of democracy - and it is pointless for the reasons started above.
- Europe is now DEMOCRATIC. There is NO reason to suppose that there will ever be a major war in Europe again.  
**Democracies DO NOT START WARS.**

AND: It is nonsense to base the entire political strategy of an entire continent on the experience (of course traumatic) of two world wars launched by dictatorships. WITHOUT dictatorships, THERE ARE NO WARS. What is needed in Europe is to ELIMINATE dictatorships, including power elites and the superrich. This means strengthening democracy which in turn means giving MORE SAY to the PEOPLE as a whole.

My prediction: The next war in Europe will come when Brussels sends Imperial troops to put down a rebellion in a distant part of the empire: Barcelona is a good bet. I personally prefer the Swiss model: democratic and independent, vote on everything, mind your own business, start no trouble and remain small. The greatest risk today is from dictatorships: Iran, China, Russia and NK - and of course ISLAM.

**The British as a whole will NEVER accept the doctrine of the CPE as typified by the following:**

- 2011: MR. SCHÄUBLE said the German government would propose treaty changes at the summit of European leaders in Brussels on Dec. 9 that would move Europe closer to the centralized fiscal government that the currency zone has lacked. The ultimate goal, Mr. Schäuble says, is a political union with a European president directly elected by the people. **“What we’re now doing with the fiscal union, what I’m describing here, is a short-term step for the currency,”** Mr. Schäuble said. **“In a larger context, naturally we need a political union.”** He sees the turmoil as not an obstacle but a necessity. **“We can only achieve a political union if we have a crisis,”** Mr. Schäuble said. **(ed: Not ONE EUROPEAN CITIZEN has EVER voted for this.)**
- 2010: **“Decisions taken by the most democratic institutions in the world are very often wrong.”** – Jose-Manuel Barroso (President of European Commission 2004-2014)
- 2007: EU Commission President Jose Manuel Barroso, rejoicing in the “success” of his Revised Constitution, hailed the EU as **“the creation of an Empire”**, adding **“We have the dimensions of Empire”**.
- 2005: **“Politicians should have the courage to take decisions against the will of the people.”** – Klaus Kinkel (German Foreign Minister 92-98) *(NO, Herr Kinkel - the people are sovereign)*

## WHY Trump? This newspaper blog was a pretty good answer .....



**Brendan O'Neill**

1 hr · 🌐



"TRUMP?! HOW DID THIS HAPPEN??"

It happened because you banned super-size sodas. And smoking in parks. And offensive ideas on campus. Because you branded people who oppose gay marriage 'homophobic', and people unsure about immigration 'racist'. Because you treated owning a gun and never having eaten quinoa as signifiers of fascism. Because you thought correcting people's attitudes was more important than finding them jobs. Because you turned 'white man' from a description into an insult. Because you used slurs like 'denier' and 'dangerous' against anyone who doesn't share your eco-pieties. Because you treated dissent as hate speech and criticism of Obama as extremism. Because you talked more about gender-neutral toilets than about home repossessions. Because you beatified Caitlyn Jenner. Because you policed people's language, rubbished their parenting skills, took the piss out of their beliefs. Because you cried when someone mocked the Koran but laughed when they mocked the Bible. Because you said criticising Islam is Islamophobia. Because you kept telling people, "You can't think that, you can't say that, you can't do that". Because you turned politics from something done by and for people to something done to them, for their own good. Because you treated people like shit. And people don't like being treated like shit. Trump happened because of you.

In both Europe and the USA, the plebs are sick and tired of an increasingly-corrupt and arrogant elite which:

A) ... thinks it always knows best and rarely follows public opinion - from which it is detached

B) ... is making a HORRENDOUS mess of the free world

and that:

C) .... globalisation has gone too far, too fast

D) ... their countries are being swamped by migrants - in particular Muslims



## FOOTNOTE - German Guilt over WWII - Applying some logic ....

### At the time:

- Some people supported Hitler because of the terrible economic conditions after WWI, the Allies having bled Germany dry over “reparations”
- A minority of ordinary people helped him get into power.
- He had the backing of many big industrialists who thought they could control him.
- Very few had any idea of how terrible he was or what he would do until it was too late.
- No ordinary person could do anything about the increasingly insane situation that Germany got into under the Nazis: resistance meant harsh punishment, including execution.
- Most people had no choice but to do as they were told.
- The army was too subservient, and of course many supported Nazi aims without realizing until too late the full horror of it.
- Very few ordinary citizens had any real idea about the death camps. Even if they had known everything, they could have done nothing.

### Since:

- It must be assumed that many senior military officers at the time knew a lot; indeed, there were some attempts to do something about it, but half-hearted and ineffective given the totalitarian and brutal nature of the regime.
- ALL those responsible for launching the Nazi movement are long dead.
- The ONLY people still alive in ANY way personally responsible for any crimes are a few ex-soldiers and prison-guards approaching their centenary.
- NOBODY can be held responsible for the crimes of their parents; Svetlana Stalin was not blamed for the crimes of her father.
- A “country” cannot be responsible for actions of humans; Only individuals can be guilty of crimes, not a “country”.
- NO German under around 95 years is IN ANY WAY responsible for what happened. The fact that the Nazis were German is totally irrelevant.

### Conclusions:

- The concept of “German War Guilt” is intellectual nonsense (and school “punishment” policies should not be influenced by it).
- What is true is that Homo Sapiens of any race, country or creed is capable of horrendous crimes against humanity: there must be few if any racial or tribal groups which at some time or another have not committed horrendous crimes, including genocide.
- The failure is in Man, not the Germans, Japanese, Russians, British, American, Spanish, Turks or anyone else - unless such acts are being perpetrated today - and EVEN SO, it is individuals that are guilty, not races, tribes or states collectively.
- Children should of course be taught all this, but not burdened with “German guilt”: HARDLY anyone alive today is guilty of anything relating to the Nazi period.
- We are NOT responsible for the acts of our predecessors, only for our OWN acts; this is - for example - why the Turkish refusal to acknowledge the Armenian genocide is nonsense.
- Equally idiotic is those Greeks chanting “Nazis” when protesting about German attitudes towards their country’s economic woes.
- As for WWII guilt, a very large number of Italian and French individuals were also guilty of war crimes, but their countries seem to have shaken off their guilt to a far more sensible and logical degree than the Germans in general.
- Lessons have to be - and have been - learned, but one of those lessons is not that Germany today is guilty. A country CANNOT be guilty of anything.
- The biggest lesson is that ONLY democracy can prevent wars; there would BE no wars if the people voted collectively (when did Switzerland or Leichtenstein last launch a war?) No mother is going to vote to risk her son being killed, only a leader or leaders with too much power. The greatest risk today is from dictatorships: Iran, China, Russia and NK.
- Children should be taught the truth as above.

Chris Snuggs - January 2017